



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/490,868	01/24/2000	Sam E. Kinney JR.	3660P019DCX	7745	
75	90 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER	
Lester J. Vincent Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor, & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor			FELTEN, DANIEL S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	•		3693		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/490,868	SAM E. KINNEY,	SAM E. KINNEY, JR. ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S. Felten	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Teply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	August 2006					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			·			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	n received in this National	l Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/490,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9,19-37 drawn to a method and system of conducting an electronic
 online auction classified in class 705 subclass 26
 - II. Claims 10-18 drawn to a computer program product to process bidding information in an electronic auction classified in class 705 subclass 37

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method of Group I can be practiced interactively (or manually) over the Internet buy the bidders (buyers and sellers) without the use of a computer useable medium having computer readable code.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,868

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's email id Daniel.Felten@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3693 Page 4

DSF 11/24/2006